

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,466	SUGIMOTO, MASAHIKO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non-Final Action Mailed

 (1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard Anderson, Applicant's Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2007
Time: approx. 1:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney returned the examiner's call regarding the status of the present application. The attorney informed the examiner that he has not received the Non-Final Office Action, which was mailed on 07/25/2006. Apparently, the correspondence address used for mailing the 07/25/2006 Non-Final Office Action is not correct. As a result, the examiner will send another Non-Final Office Action to the correct address of the applicant's attorney.